



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,997	02/07/2006	Kenji Muraki	MTS-3564US	6609
23122	7590	08/14/2009		
RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			ARCHER, CHRISTOPHER B	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482				
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2432		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		08/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 10/541,997	Applicant(s) MURAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner CHRISTOPHER B. ARCHER	Art Unit 2432	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER B. ARCHER.

(3) DEBORAH GROVE.

(2) Gilberto Barron Jr..

(4) Jacques Etkowicz.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rhoads et al. (US 6,442,285).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner cited additional columns and clarified the Rhoads rejections for claims 1 and 16, stating that the rejection would be upheld based upon the proposed amendments. Examiner agreed to re-examine claim 15 based upon attorney's arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/CHRISTOPHER BRUCE ARCHER/ Examiner, Art Unit 2432	/Gilberto Barron Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432
---	---